

Author's response to reviews

Title: Training community resource center and clinic personnel to prompt patients in listing questions for doctors: follow-up interviews about barriers and facilitators to the implementation of Consultation Planning

Authors:

Jeffrey Belkora (jeff.belkora@ucsfmedctr.org)
Brian Edlow (edlow@mac.com)
Caryn Aviv (caviv@du.edu)
Karen Sepucha (ksepucha@partners.org)
Laura Esserman (laura.esserman@ucsfmedctr.org)

Version: 4 **Date:** 17 December 2007

Author's response to reviews: see over



Jeffrey K. Belkora, Ph.D.
Director, Decision Services & Assistant Adjunct Professor, Surgery
2186 Geary Blvd, Suite 103, UCSF Box 1941
San Francisco, California 94115

PHONE: 415-476-0263
FAX: 415-476-0272; efax (415) 651 8574
E-MAIL: jeff.belkora@ucsfmedctr.org; www.guidesmith.org

December 15, 2007

Dr. Robbie Foy and colleagues
Implementation Science
www.implementationscience.com

Dear Dr. Foy,

Thank you for conditionally accepting MS: 1801371066112111, subject to my incorporation of the latest reviewer comments. My co-authors and I were delighted to read that one of the original reviewers, Dr. Murray, recognized the improvements we had made since the original submission, and recommended it for publication. It is regrettable that the other original reviewer, Dr. Hudson, was not available to comment, but given that his original review was more favorable than Dr. Murray's original review, it seems likely that he too would have endorsed our revisions. A third reviewer, Dr. Charles, reading the paper for the first time, made several critiques that we have addressed:

1. We now refer to our investigation as follow-up interviews (rather than case study).
2. We have stated earlier in the report (abstract, and aims sections) that the aims of our inquiry were oriented towards hypothesis generation.
3. In response to Dr. Charles' concern, we have expanded the literature review in the background section and made greater reference to our reasons for believing that dissemination of our intervention was timely and feasible.
4. Dr. Charles also pointed out limitations of our analysis, which we have incorporated into our discussion of limitations.
5. We have amplified our definitions of terms including clinics and resource centers
6. We have edited our abstract to be more understandable to people who have no prior exposure to visit preparation interventions.

I look forward to your publication of this article. Many thanks for shepherding it along through several revision cycles, which have enormously benefited our team.

Regards,

Jeff Belkora