

Reviewer's report

Title: Evaluating the successful implementation of evidence into practice using the PARIHS framework: theoretical and practical challenges

Version: 1 **Date:** 20 March 2007

Reviewer: Donna Brown

Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

This is a well written article which contributes significantly to the discussion concerning the theoretical and practical application of the PARISH Framework. The questions identified by the authors offer researchers in the field valuable insight and guidance in terms of the developmental work necessary for the PARISH Framework's enhanced utility and effectiveness in research and practice. In addition the clear and logical debate augments our understanding of the issues around the complexities of knowledge utilisation.

My only other comment is that figure two appears to be missing or is it incorporated into one of the other tables/figures? The article would benefit from clarity in terms of this figure.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.