

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

Organizational interventions to implement improvements in patient care:

a structured review of reviews the research evidence

Michel Wensing (1)

5 Hub Wollersheim (1)

Richard Grol (1)

(1) Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre

10 Mail address: P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Fax: ++ 31 24 3540166

Email: M.Wensing@kwazo.umcn.nl

Word count (main text): 3303

15 **Number of Tables: 6**

Number of references: 44

Organizational changes to improve professional performance and patient outcomes: a review of
systematic reviews

20

20 **Abstract**

Background Changing the organization of patient care ~~should promises to~~ contribute to improved patient outcomes as functioning of clinical teams and organizational structures are important enablers for improvement.

25

Objective To provide ~~an overview of a insight into~~ the research evidence on effects of organizational strategies to implement improvements in patient care.

Design Structured review of published reviews of rigorous evaluations.

30

Data sources ~~To identify p~~Published reviews of studies on organizational interventions, ~~we searched in data bases (Pubmed, Cochrane Library) and in selected journals. Reviews were included, if these were based on a systematic search, focused on rigorous evaluations of organizational changes, and were published between 1995 and 2003.~~

35

Review methods ~~Searches were conducted in two data-bases (Pubmed, Cochrane Library) and in selected journals. Reviews were included, if these were based on a systematic search, focused on rigorous evaluations of organizational changes, and were published between 1995 and 2003.~~

Two investigators independently extracted information from the reviews regarding their clinical

40 focus, methodological quality and main quantitative findings. ~~The reviews were too heterogeneous for quantitative synthesis.~~

Results A total of 36 reviews were included, but not all were high-quality reviews ~~(HQR)~~. ~~The reviews were too heterogeneous for quantitative synthesis.~~ None of the strategies produced consistent
45 effects, ~~but the overall picture was as follows~~. Professional performance ~~was generally were mostly~~

improved by revision of professional roles (~~n=5 HQR~~) and computer systems for knowledge management (~~n=5 HQR~~). Patient outcomes ~~were was generally mostly~~ improved by multidisciplinary teams (~~n=1 HQR~~), integrated care services (~~n=5 HQR~~), and computer systems. Cost savings were reported ~~from regarding~~ integrated care services. The benefits of quality management (~~n=0 HQR~~) remained uncertain.

50

Conclusions There is a growing evidence base of rigorous evaluations of organizational strategies, but the evidence underlying some strategies is limited and for no strategy can the effects ~~of neither of the strategies can~~ be predicted with high certainty.

55

Key words: quality assurance, provider behavior change, organization of healthcare, guidelines, systematic reviews

Introduction

60 Numerous studies have shown that at least 40% of the patients do not receive high-quality medical care ¹. So far, strategies to implement best evidence to improve clinical practice have been mainly targeted at improving the knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of healthcare workers ². Examples of these strategies are audit and feedback, reminder systems, educational meetings and educational outreach visits. These strategies appear to achieve a ~~median maximum~~ of 10% absolute change of professional performance ~~and no strategy is uniquely and consistently effective~~ ³. While this change may be clinically and economically relevant, further improvements are needed. Many patient outcomes are not only influenced by the performance of individual care providers, but also by the functioning of clinical teams ~~for patient care~~ and by ~~broader~~ organizational and financial structures. Contextual factors, such as a high burden of work ~~or and~~ poor co-ordination mechanisms, can be important barriers for wide-scale and sustainable improvement ⁴. Organizational changes could therefore ~~offer provide~~ important ~~mechanisms incentives~~ for quality improvement.

Claims on the effectiveness of organizational strategies for improving the quality of care should be based on evidence from rigorous evaluations. While a number of reviews of specific organizational strategies have been published, ~~no~~ broad overview of research evidence on organizational strategies ~~has been published~~ ~~is not available~~. This paper focuses on organizational strategies which could improve professional practice and health outcomes (box 1). Decision makers need an overview of the evidence for their organizational measures in order to chose effective interventions and avoid ineffective interventions, yet the research literature on these strategies is scattered over a large number of journals. This paper aims to provide a structured review of the research evidence from systematic literature reviews ~~regarding a number~~ of organizational interventions with respect to their effects on professional performance, patient outcomes and costs.

Methods

85 *Data sources*

We performed searches in Pubmed (1994-2003) and the Cochrane Library (accessed in January 2004). Older reviews were excluded, because their validity for current decision makers may be limited and we assumed that the reviewed studies would be included in later reviews. The search strategy in Pubmed combined the MeSH terms ‘review literature’ and ‘meta-analysis’ with the MeSH term ‘healthcare quality’. The search in the Cochrane Library focused on reviews of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care (EPOC) Group ~~(EPOC)~~. We checked references in identified papers in previous overviews of systematic reviews in this field, which were themselves based on exhaustive extensive-systematic searches⁵⁻⁷. Authors’ personal literature collections were also examined; these were partly based on manual searching in health services research journals over 95 the last 10 years. Only papers written in English were included. Our search was not designed to be comprehensive, but to provide a comprehensive reasonably broad-overview of the available research evidence.

Study selection

100 ~~Systematic reviews of organizational strategies to improve patient care were included. Organizational strategies were defined as planned re-arrangements of one or more aspects of the organization of patient care.~~ A review was included if it reported on its search strategy, if it focused (at least partly) on rigorous evaluations of organizational strategies (defined as planned re-arrangements of one or more aspects of the organization of patient care), and if it was published in 1995 or later. Rigorous 105 evaluations comprised randomized trials, interrupted time-series, controlled before-and-after studies, ~~interrupted time-series,~~ and prospective comparative observational studies. Papers were included by the first author and the inclusion was checked by the second author. Some reviews also included studies on non-organizational strategies or non-rigorous studies; these sections in the reviews were not used. We did not include reallocation of services from hospital to primary care settings.

Data extraction

115 A taxonomy of organizational strategies to improve patient care was developed to organize the results
(Box 1); ~~this was which appeared to be~~ consistent with ~~other the~~ lists of organizational interventions
~~such as that used provided by the EPOC (box 1)~~. Two researchers extracted ~~information~~ from the
120 reviews ~~information on regarding~~ their focus, methodological quality, and main results. The number
of studies reported in the table refers to the number of rigorous evaluations of organizational
interventions; ~~this, which~~ may be lower than the total number of studies in the review as we focused
only on rigorous studies of organizational interventions. Two authors independently assessed the
methodological quality of the review, as opposed to the included studies, using a previously used 9-
125 item scale (we did not use the summary assessment in the original scale)⁷. A score of 7 or more was
taken to indicate good methodological quality. We recorded how many items were fully positively
scored (0=poor, 9=excellent) and considered a score of 7 or higher as indication for good
methodological quality. The methodological quality of the review does not refer to the quality of the
included studies. The summaries of the main results regarding effects on professional practice, patient
125 outcomes and costs were based on the text in the original papers, derived from the abstract, results
section or discussion section, focusing on quantitative summaries when available.

Data synthesis

130 ~~It was anticipated that the data would be too heterogeneous to be combined statistically in a meta-
analysis.~~ We expressed effects in terms of average effect size (AES), standardized mean difference
(SMD), weighted mean difference (WMD), adjusted odds ratio's (AOR), adjusted relative risk
(ARR), median net change (MNC) or percentage studies with improvements (PSI). Except for PSI all
figures were extracted from the papers. If a meta-analysis had been performed, we also recorded
whether the effect was significant (S) or not (NS). If quantitative summary measures of effectiveness
135 were not used, the range of effects across studies was used. If this was not available, the authors' main
qualitative conclusions were reported ~~copied~~.

Results

140 *Description of studies*

A total of 36 reviews were included⁸⁻⁴⁴, of which 21 were of ~~had~~ good methodological quality. The reviews with lower scores for methodological quality had not used optimal procedures for data-extraction and data-analysis. The studies were too heterogeneous regarding strategies and context factors to allow statistical pooling; furthermore, information on contextual factors was very limited.

145

Revision of professional roles

Nine reviews focused on revision of professional roles, of which five were of ~~had~~ good methodological quality. All focused on revised roles for non-physicians.

An older review identified 13 (quasi-) randomized trials which compared nurse practitioners to
150 physicians in primary care¹³. It found that quality of care, resolution of pathological conditions and functional status were not affected, while number of tests ordered and patient satisfaction increased. Similar findings were reported in a more recent review¹⁹. This Also, the-latter review also reported that nurse practitioners had longer consultations, while prescriptions, return consultations and referrals did not differ. A review that focused on the effect of specialist nurses in diabetes care found
155 that glycated hemoglobin was not different from usual care over a 12 month period². Outreach nursing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease did not change patient outcomes, but it increased the use of hospital services³⁵.

A broad review on quality improvement in hospitals identified 13 studies on improvement strategies, which comprised the component of revision of professional roles¹⁵. This component significantly
160 contributed to improved professional performance in a meta-regression analysis. A review on adult immunization and cancer screening found 20 trials, which included a component of organizational change – mainly designation of specific prevention responsibilities to nonphysician staff³⁷. The meta-regression analysis showed that changing roles was one of the most effective intervention components

165 | ~~in~~ increasing use of the clinical and preventive services (~~e.g.~~ compared to educational approaches, feedback and reminding strategies).

A review on enlarged roles of outpatient pharmacists (15 randomized trials, one controlled trial) showed that delivery of pharmacist services influenced the use of services, prescribing patterns, and patient outcomes ¹¹. Effects on costs were uncertain. Mental health workers replacing primary care providers did not consistently change psychotropic prescribing, consultation rates or mental health
170 | referrals ¹². There was some evidence that consultation ~~with~~ primary care providers by mental health workers had a direct effect on prescribing behavior when used as part of complex, multifaceted interventions ¹². A review of advice given by dietitians showed that dietitians did not effect blood cholesterol more than self-help resources ³⁹.

175 | Overall, it seems ~~We conclude~~ that revision of professional roles can improve professional performance, while positive effects on patient outcomes remain uncertain. Revision of roles seemed especially effective in preventive care, but the effect in relation to specialized nurses in primary care I still unresolved. ~~It seemed especially effective in preventive care. The role of specialized nurses in primary care is still unresolved.~~

180 | *Multidisciplinary teams*

Five papers ~~looked at~~ ~~reviewed~~ studies on various interventions to enhance multidisciplinary collaboration, of which one ~~was of~~ ~~had~~ good methodological quality ⁴⁴.

In a review ~~of~~ ~~on~~ palliative care teams four of the five randomized trials found that the co-coordinated specialist approach resulted in similar or improved outcomes in terms of patient and family
185 | satisfaction, anxiety, pain and symptom control ¹⁸. Those studies which examined costs showed a tendency to reduce hospital days and equal or lower costs.

The involvement of a primary care practitioner in a specialist team was examined in a review, which identified seven randomized trials on programs for chronic or complex conditions ²⁸. While ~~There~~
190 | were mixed effects for patient outcomes, they ~~but~~ improved clinical performance of primary care providers, higher patient knowledge and higher patient satisfaction. Two studies examined costs,

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

~~showing which showed~~ mixed effects. Only two randomized trials were ~~identified found~~ in a review on interventions to promote collaboration between nurses and doctors ⁴⁴. These ~~two studies~~ showed reduced hospital stay without change of mortality.

~~A~~The review on multidisciplinary teams for congestive heart failure patients identified two
195 randomized trials, which showed similar or improved outcomes ³¹. Results regarding use of hospital care were inconsistent. The review on multidisciplinary teams for rheumatoid arthritis patients comprised 15 controlled trials (nine of which were randomized) ⁴⁰. The six trials of inpatient teams compared with regular outpatient care showed greater improvements in disease activity and in functional status immediately after treatment, which diminished over time. Five of the six trials on
200 outpatient teams showed improvements on various patient outcomes compared with regular outpatient care.

~~Overall, it seems It can be concluded~~ that multidisciplinary teams can improve patient outcomes. They have primarily been tested in highly prevalent chronic diseases.

205 *Integrated care services*

Eight reviews focused on integrated services, of which five ~~were of had~~ good methodological quality. A review on stroke considered organized in-patient care, including both dedicated stroke units and mixed assessment/rehabilitation units. It ~~included examined~~ 19 trials (12 randomized), ~~and which~~ showed favorable effects of stroke care ³⁸. A second review on stroke focused on in-hospital
210 pathways, which were described as ‘protocols for well-organized multidisciplinary care’ ²¹. It identified three randomized trials and seven other studies, which showed no differences regarding objective outcomes, but deterioration of patient reported outcomes.

A review on ambulatory patients with heart failure (11 randomized trials) found that these reduced hospitalization but not all-cause mortality ²⁵. The programs were cost saving in most studies that
215 reported cost data. ~~A~~The review on secondary prevention programs in coronary heart disease (12 randomized trials) found largely the same results, although only three studies examined costs ²⁶. There

were several studies which showed improved quality of life and functional status in patients from disease management groups.

220 | A review of ~~fn~~ diabetes care showed improved glycated hemoglobin levels in both disease management (17 studies in a meta-analysis) and case management (11 studies) ²⁹. The improvement was similar when case management was delivered in addition. Disease management in rheumatoid arthritis had a small non-significant overall effect on functional status ⁸. Longer programs or programs with more components were not consistently more effective.

225 | A review on case management programs in primary care (nine randomized trials) focused on comprehensive programs and various conditions, including asthma, congestive heart failure, diabetes, and geriatric conditions ¹⁶. Positive ~~effects were impact was~~ found on patient-centered and clinical outcomes, but not on use of resources. The review on asthma ³² identified only one randomized trial, which showed some improvements in health outcomes.

230 | An extensive review of controlled trials regarding disease management in chronic illness examined the effects of interventions, used within disease management programs ⁴³. The programs included a wide variety of interventions. While the interventions themselves were not organizational, only applications in the context of organized care for chronic illness were considered. It showed that both provider directed interventions and patient interventions ~~s~~ were associated with effects on provider adherence to guidelines and disease control.

235 | ~~Overall, In conclusion~~ integrated care systems can improve patient outcomes and save costs. They have been extensively tested in highly prevalent chronic conditions.

Knowledge management

240 | A broad range of computerized services was examined in six reviews, of which all but one were of good methodological quality. None of the reviews had a specific disease focus.

A large review on various computerized information services identified 100 randomized trials, mainly in outpatient care settings ⁹. Some interventions focused on providers, such as reminders and computer-assisted treatment planning, while others focused on patients, such as computer-assisted

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

interactive education and patient reminders. Most types of interventions showed positive effects,

245 mainly related to specific a process of care, such as diagnostic test use, preventive services, and
number of drug prescriptions. Ten of the fourteen studies that reported on patient outcomes found
positive effects.

A later review by ~~some of partly~~ the same authors identified 40 randomized trials of computerized
knowledge management in diabetes care ¹⁰. It showed that computerized prompting (9 studies) led to
250 improved overall guideline adherence. Meta-analysis of studies using home glucose records in insulin
dose adjustment (16 studies) documented a decrease in glycated hemoglobin and a decrease in blood
glucose. Several computerized patient-education programs improved diet and indicators regarding
metabolic control.

Computerized physician order entry and clinical decision support systems were found to have effects
255 on medication error rates and prescribing behaviors ²¹. ~~A The~~ review by Walton et al ⁴² focused
particularly on computerized support for determining drug dose. It identified 23 comparative studies,
of which 16 were randomized trials. Seven of 11 studies on drug doses used found reductions, but the
overall reduction was not significant in a meta-analysis. Six studies measured unwanted effects of
drugs and four found significant reductions. Five of six studies on patient outcomes showed benefits.
260 Only two studies considered costs and one study found cost savings, which resulted largely from
reduced hospital stay.

A review on computerized record systems in primary care identified 61 studies, of which 39
randomized trials focused on professional performance and 11 randomized trials on patient outcomes
²⁷. Immunization rates improved in nine studies, which mainly focused on reminder systems.

265 Performance of preventive tasks improved. Four studies found improvements in diabetes
management. A number of studies showed that computer support improved prescribing and reduced
test ordering, ~~with which~~ implied cost savings. Use of computers increased the number of patients
with reduced diastolic blood pressure in three studies, but did not consistently improve outcomes of
anticoagulation therapy in two other studies. Five studies showed that consultation length increased.

270 A review on nursing care record systems identified eight trials, which suggested that documentation was improved but that process or outcomes of care were not influenced¹⁴. The reviewers concluded that no evidence was found regarding effects on performance attributable to changes in the record systems.

275 ~~Overall, it seems~~ ~~We conclude~~ that professional performance and patient outcomes can be improved by the implementation of computers in clinical practice settings.

Quality management

Two reviews on quality management were found; both were of moderate methodological quality. A large review reported on 55 studies on the impact of continuous quality improvement, but only three
280 were randomized trials³⁴. Notably, these found no positive effects. A second review focused on nursing homes⁴¹. It identified four controlled trials of heterogeneous interventions (two of these appeared to comprise professional education). It concluded qualitatively that specific components of quality management were particularly effective, such as specific training, assessment procedures,
285 quality assessment cycles and the assistance of a quality consultant. ~~Overall, t~~The effects of quality management on professional performance and patient outcomes remain uncertain.

Mixed interventions

Seven reviews, ~~which were~~ all but one which were of good methodological quality, combined various organizational interventions (such as described in box 1) into one group for the analysis and
290 interpretation.

A comprehensive review on implementation of preventive services in primary care found four controlled trials on organizational interventions, such as involvement of nurses and a different way of booking appointments²⁰. All showed intended positive effects. A review on improving breast cancer screening identified three randomized trials on change in office administrative ~~office~~ systems, which
295 all showed increased use of mammography screening²⁴. Discharge planning prior to leaving ~~the~~ hospital resulted in a small reduction in hospital length of stay for elderly medical patients, mixed

Organisational interventions:: a structured review effects on re-admission ~~and, while~~ no effects on patient outcomes ~~were found~~³⁰. A review on interventions to improve physicians' use of diagnostic tests found that 'enabling interventions' (administrative structure of test ordering) led to change in a majority of the studies if used alone and
300 in most studies when used in combination with predisposing or reinforcing interventions³⁶.
A review on interventions to implement guidelines in hospitals found 15 trials, which included an organizational component (other than revision of professional roles)¹⁵. A meta-regression analysis showed that this component did not contribute to effects on process measures.
A review on interventions to improve the management of diabetes mellitus in primary care and
305 outpatient settings identified nine trials³³. These interventions focused on change in the medical record system, arrangements for follow-up, involvement of a pharmacist, and multidisciplinary collaboration. The authors conclude that regular prompted recall and review of patients improve diabetes management. Higher treatment adherence and patient recovery, and lower costs, ~~were was~~ achieved in patients with depression by "collaborative care", a comprehensive package of
310 interventions which included educational and organizational strategies¹⁷.

Discussion

315 This paper examined the evidence ~~of the effectiveness of on~~ a broad range of organizational changes in patient care ~~in terms of regarding~~ effects on professional performance, patient outcomes, and costs. We found evidence that professional performance can be improved by ~~enlargement~~ ~~enhancement~~ of ~~the~~ professional roles of non-physicians (nurses, pharmacists, etc.) and by computer systems ~~both~~ for reminding and decision support. Patient outcomes ~~were can be~~ improved by multidisciplinary teams
320 for patient care, integrated care services, and computer ~~decision~~ support. Few studies considered costs, but cost savings were reported ~~from reviews of regarding~~ integrated care services and not

consistently ~~for any regarding~~ other organizational changes. There was little evidence ~~from systematic reviews regarding of~~ the effectiveness of quality management.

We have not searched ~~exhaustively comprehensively~~ so it is possible that we have missed relevant reviews. The conclusions need to be regarded as tentative. The lack of a widely accepted taxonomy for organizational interventions is a problem for the examination of their effectiveness. A previous review ~~on regarding~~ organizational changes concluded that the available evidence was difficult to locate, even for expert researchers, and may therefore be largely inaccessible to health care managers

⁷. There ~~wasis~~ a range of organizational approaches to improvement that were not explicitly covered by this paper, such as leadership, process redesign, breakthrough series, organizational culture interventions, and organizational learning ². We found no systematic reviews ~~particularly~~ focused on these strategies. The use of a 'percentage studies with improvements' (PSI) implies a vote counting method, which has substantial risk for bias and should therefore be interpreted carefully.

This paper shows ~~ed~~ that a considerable number of rigorous evaluations of organizational changes ~~haves~~ been performed, including many controlled trials. Few reviews report on the efficiency of organizational interventions, although many interventions may be primarily targetted at efficiency gains. While further studies are needed, there is some research evidence available to guide decisions.

~~We found that particularly I~~ntegrated care services are particularly promising. Their effectiveness may be based on the fact that these are ~~in fact~~ multifaceted interventions, ~~that which~~ comprise various organizational changes such as revised professional roles, multidisciplinary teams, use of computers systems, and components of quality management. Continued education of health professionals and patient education are usually components of these integrated care services as well. In this way, they can address a wide range of potential barriers for change, which is likely to increase their

effectiveness. Further work should focus on analysing the contrib~~u~~tions of the specific components in integrated care services, to identify which particularly contribute to their effectiveness.

To allow interpretation by decision-makers in various contexts which strategies to select it is important to provide sufficient background information on the local context in published studies and reviews of these studies~~papers~~. For instance, it may be important whether an improvement is

Organisational interventions:: a structured review implemented in a small practice (with informal relationships) or in a large hospital department with

350 formalized structures. ~~In future reviews it would be helpful to research papers should~~ provide this background information. It may be helpful to have a set of key factors for such descriptions, which are likely to influence change, such as physicians' attitudes regarding a proposed change, organizational structures and financial incentives. ~~Perhaps a 'reference case' for organizational changes should be developed, similar to economic evaluations of healthcare interventions.~~

355

References

- 1 McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, et al. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:2635-45.
- 360
- 2 Grol R, Grimshaw J. From best evidence to best practice: effective implementation of change in patients' care. *Lancet.* 2003;362:1225-30.
- 3 Grimshaw J, Thomas RE, Maclennan G, et al. Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and
365 implementation strategies. *Health Technol Assess.* 2004;8(6).
- 4 Cabana MD, Rand CS, Power NR, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A
framework for improvement. *JAMA.* 1999;282:1458-65.
- 370 5 Bero LA, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM et al. Closing the gap between research and practice: an overview of
systematic reviews of interventions to promote the implementation of research findings. *BMJ.* 1998;317:265-8.
- 6 Grimshaw JM, Shirran L, Thomas R, et al. Changing provider behavior. An overview of systematic reviews of
interventions. *Med Care.* 2001;39:S2-45.
- 375
- 7 [Oxman AD. Checklist for review articles. *BMJ* 1994;309:648-51.](#)
[Sibbald B, Shen J, McBride A. Changing the skill mix of the health care workforce. *J Health Serv Res Policy.*
2004;9:28-38.](#)
- 380 8 Badamgarav E, Croft JD, Hohlbauch A, et al. Effects of disease management programs on functional status of
patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 2003;49:377-387.
- 9 Balas EA, Austin Boren S, Brown GD, et al. Effect of physician profiling on utilization. Meta-analysis of
randomized clinical trials. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1996;11:584-90.

385

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

- 10 Balas EA, Krishna S, Kretschmer RA, Cheek TR, Lobach DF, Boren SA. Computerized knowledge management in diabetes care. *Med Care*. 2004;42:610-21.
- 11 Beney J, Bero LA, Bond C. Expanding the roles of outpatient pharmacists: effects on health services utilisation, costs, and patient outcomes. *Cochrane Library*. 2000:1.
- 12 Bower P, Sibbald B. On-site mental health workers in primary care: effects on professional practice. *Cochrane Library*. 2000:1.
- 13 Brown SA, Grimes DE. A meta-analysis of nurse practitioners and nurse midwives in primary care. *Nursing Res*. 1995;44:322-339.
- 14 Currell R, Urquhart C. Nursing record systems: effects on nursing practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Library*. 1999:3.
- 15 Dijkstra R, Wensing M, Thomas R, Akkermans J, Grimshaw J, Grol R. Relationship between organizational characteristics and the effect of clinical guidelines on medical performance at hospitals, a meta-analysis. Ph.D. thesis, University Nijmegen, 2004.
- 16 Ferguson JA, Weinberger M. Case management programs in primary care. *J Gen Int Med*. 1998;13:123-126.
- 17 Gilbody S, Whitty P, Grimshaw J, Thomas R. Educational and organizational interventions to improve the management of depression in primary care. A systematic review. *JAMA*. 2003;289:3145-51.
- 18 Hearn J, Higginson IJ. Do specialist palliative care teams improve outcomes for cancer patients? A systematic literature review. *Palliative Medicine*. 1998;12:317-32.
- 19 Horrocks S, Anderson E, Salisbury C. Systematic review of whether nurse practitioners working in primary care can provide equivalent care to doctors. *BMJ*. 2002;324:819-23.

415

20 Hulscher MEJL, Wensing M, Grol RPTM, et al. Interventions to improve the delivery of preventive services in primary care. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89(5):737-46.

420

21 Kaushal R, Shojania KG, Bates DW. Effects of computerized physician order entry and clinical decision support systems on medication safety. A systematic review. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163:1409-16.

22 Kwan J, Sandercock P. In-hospital pathways for stroke. *Cochrane Library*. 2002:1.

23 Loveman E, Royle P, Waugh N. Specialist nurses in diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Library*. 2003:1.

425

24 Mandelblatt J, Kanetsky PA. Effectiveness of interventions to enhance physician screening for breast cancer. *J Fam Pract*. 1995;40:162-171.

430

25 McAlister FA, Lawson FME, Teo KK, Armstrong PW. A systematic review of randomized trials of disease management programs in heart failure. *Am J Med*. 2001;110:378-84.

26 McAlister FA, Lawson FME, Teo KK, Armstrong. Randomized trials of secondary prevention programs in coronary heart disease: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2001;323:957-62.

435

27 Mitchell E, Sullivan F. A descriptive feast but an evaluative famine: systematic review of published articles on primary care computing during 1980-97. *BMJ*. 2001;322:279-82.

28 Mitchell G, Del Mar C, Francis D. Does primary medical practitioner involvement with a specialist team improve patient outcomes: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2002;52:934-939.

440

29 Norris SL, Nichols PJ, Caspersen CJ, et al. The effectiveness of disease and case management for people with diabetes. A systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2002;22:15-38.

30 Parkes J, Sheppard S. Discharge planning from hospital to home. *Cochrane Library*. 2000;1.

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

445

31 Philbin EF. Comprehensive multidisciplinary programs for the management of patients with congestive heart failure. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1999;14:130-135.

32 Ram FSF, Jones A, Fay JK. Primary care based clinics for asthma. Cochrane Library. 2001;1.

450

33 Renders CM, Valk GD, Griffin S, Wagner EH, Van Eijk J Th, Assendelft WJJ. Interventions to improve the management of diabetes mellitus in primary care, outpatient and community settings. Cochrane Library. 2000:1.

34 Shortell SM, Bennett CL, Byck GR. Assessing the impact of continuous quality improvement on clinical

455

practice: what it will take to accelerate progress. *Milbank Q.* 1998;76:593-624.

35 Smith B, Appleton S, Adams R, Southcott A, Ruffin R. Home care by outreach nursing for chronic pulmonary disease. Cochrane Library. 2001;1.

460

36 Solomon DH, Hashimoto H, Daltroy L, Liang MH. Techniques to improve physicians' use of diagnostic tests. A new conceptual framework. *JAMA.* 1998;280:2020-27.

37 Stone EG, Morton SC, Hulscher ME, Maglione MA, Roth EA et al. Interventions that increase use of adult immunization and cancer screening services: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;136:641-51.

465

38 Stroke Unit Trialist Collaboration. Collaborative systematic review of the randomized trials of organized inpatient (stroke unit) care after stroke. *BMJ.* 1997;314:1151-8.

39 Thompson RL, Summerbell CD, Hooper L, et al. Dietary advice given by a dietitian versus other health

470

professional or self-help resources to reduce blood cholesterol. Cochrane Library. 2003;1.

40 Vliet Vlieland TP, Hazes JM. Efficacy of multidisciplinary team care programs in rheumatoid arthritis.

Arthritis and Rheumatism. 1997;27:110-122.

475 41 Wagner C, Van der Wal G, Groenewegen PP, De Bakker DH. The effectiveness of quality systems in nursing homes: a review. *Qual Health Care*. 2001;10:211-17.

42 Walton R, Dovey S, Harvey E, et al. Computer support for determining drug dose: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 1999;318:984-90.

480

43 Weingarten SR, Henning JM, Badamgarav E, et al. Interventions used in disease management programs for patients with chronic illness – which ones work? Meta-analysis of published reports. *BMJ*. 2002;325:925-33.

485 44 Zwarenstein M, Bryant W. Interventions to promote collaboration between nurses and doctors. *Cochrane Library*. 2000:4.

Box 1: Organizational changes to improve patient care

490 - Revision of professional roles: Change of tasks and responsibilities of health professionals, such as increased medical ~~roles tasks~~ to nurses and enlarging the ~~roles tasks~~ of pharmacists.

- Multidisciplinary teams: Clinical teams or collaborations of physicians, nurses and allied health professionals to improve professional performance and patient outcomes.

495 - Integrated care services: Organized systems for care delivery (also labeled as disease management programs or integrated care pathways) to patients with specific diseases, who receive care according to a protocol, which covers the spectrum from screening to education, treatment and monitoring. Case management ~~is partly overlaps ping~~ with disease management and has been included in the category.

500 - Knowledge management: Knowledge management is the optimal organization of knowledge within an organization. In practice, it mainly refers to the use of information and communication technology to support patient care, such as computerized medical record keeping.

505 - Quality management: ~~A m~~Management approach, which focuses on customers, continuous efforts to improve, measurement and analysis of performance, and supportive leadership and organizational culture. Various approaches, such as total quality management, continuous quality improvement, and business redesign, ~~are have been~~ included in this category.

Table 1 Revision of professional roles

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Beney 2000 N=16	7	Enlargement of the role of the public pharmacist	Changed use of healthcare services (PSI 6/6=100%). Improved patient outcomes (PSI 10/13=77%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> quality of life.
Bower 2000 N=38	9	Mental health workers in primary care: replacement of / consultation to primary care providers	*Replacement: lowered consultation rates (PSI 2/8=25%), short term reduction on psychotropic prescribing (PSI 4/11= 36%), long term changes psychotropic prescribing (PSI 3/6=50%), reduced mental health referrals (PSI 3/6=50%). *Consultation: more appropriate short term prescribing (PSI 3/6=50%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> consultation rates, referral patterns.
Brown 1995 N=13	4	Nurse practitioners in primary care	Improved laboratory testing (AES 0.20), resolution of pathological conditions (AES 0.28), patient satisfaction (AES 0.30). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> quality of care, prescribing, functional status, consultation rates, use of emergency service.
Dijkstra 2004 N=13	7	Revision of professional roles for guideline implementation in hospitals	Improved professional performance (AOR 9.78, S).

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

Table 1 Revision of professional roles (cont)

Horrocks 2002 N=11	6	Nurse practitioners in primary care	Improved patient satisfaction (SMD 0.27), longer consultations (WMD 3.67 minutes), more investigations (OR 1.22). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> health status.
Loveman 2003 N=6	8	Specialist nurses in diabetes mellitus	Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> HbA1c, emergency admissions, quality of life.
Stone 2002 N=20	6	Organizational change (mainly involvement of non-physician staff and clinics devoted to prevention) to improve adult immunization and cancer screening	Improved preventive activities (AOR range 2.74 - 17.6).
Smith 2001 N=4	7	Outreach nursing for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Increased hospital service utilization (PSI 2/2=100%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> mortality, lung function, health related quality of life.
Thompson 2003	8	Dietary advice by dietitians compared	Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> patient outcomes.

N=7 to self-management materials.

Table 2 Multidisciplinary teams

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Hearn 1998 N=5	5	Palliative care teams in advanced cancer	Improved patient and carer satisfaction (PSI 4/5=80%). Improved pain and symptom control (PSI 80%). Reduced hospital stay and overall costs (PSI 4/5=80%).
Mitchell 2002 N=7	6	Arrangements that linked family physicians to specialist practitioners	Improved clinical behavior (PSI 4/4=100%). Cost savings (PSI 1/2=50%). Not changed:No change in: health outcomes.
Philbin 1999 N=2	4	Multidisciplinary teams for patients with congestive heart failure	Improved quality of life (PSI 1/2=50%). Reduced use of medical care (PSI 1/2=50%).
Vliet Vlieland 1997 N=15	4	Multidisciplinary teams care-caring for rheumatoid arthritis	Inpatient teams versus usual outpatient care: improved short-term disease activity (PSI 4/4=100%), increased costs (2/2=100%). Outpatient teams versus usual outpatient care: improved disease outcomes (PSI 2/5=40%).
Zwarenstein 2000 N=2	7	Interventions to promote collaboration between nurses and doctors	Reduced hospital stay (PSI 1/2=50% 100%), reduced hospital costs (PSI=18%) . Not changed:No change in: mortality.

Organisational interventions: a structured review

Table 3 Integrated care services

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Badamgarav 2003 N=11	7	Rheumatoid arthritis	Improved functional status (AES 0.27 NS).
Ferguson 1998 N=9	4	Case management in various different patient populations	Improved patient-centered outcomes (PSI 6/6=100%), improved clinical outcomes (PSI 2/2=100%), reduced health resource use PSI 2/7=29%).
Kwan 2001 N=10	9	In-hospital pathways for stroke	Fewer urinary tract infections (AOR 0.38 _± S). Fewer readmissions (AOR 0.11 _± S). More computer tomography brain scans (AOR 3.66 _± S). More carotid duplex studies (AOR 2.45 _± S). Reduced patient satisfaction (P=0.02). Reduced quality of life (P=0.005). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> mortality, dependency, or discharge destination.
McAllister 2001 N=11	7	Disease management for heart failure in patients discharged from hospital	Decreased hospital use (ARR 0.87), cost savings (PSI 7/8=88%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> all-cause mortality.
McAllister 2001 (BMJ) N=12	7	Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in outpatients	Reduced hospital use (ARR 0.84 S), improved quality of life/functional status (PSI 5/8=63%), cost savings (PSI 2/3=67%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> all-cause mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction.

Table 3 Integrated care services (cont)

Norris 2002 N=42	5	Disease management and case management in diabetes	Disease management: improved professional performance (SMD range 10-30%). Improved glycated hemoglobin (MNC -0.5% S). Case management: improved glycated hemoglobin (MNC -0.53% S).
Ram 2001 N=1	9	Asthma clinics in primary care	Improved peak flow scores and other patient outcomes (PSI = 1/1=100%).
Stroke Unit Trialist Collaboration 1997 N=19	6	Organized inpatient care after stroke (rehabilitations, <u>staff</u> specialization, training and <u>staff</u> education)	Reduced mortality (AOR 0.83 _± S). Reduced dependency or mortality (AOR 0.69 _± S). Reduced institutionalization (AOR 0.75 _± S). Reduced length of hospital stay (ARR 0.92 S).
Weingartenn 2002 N=102	6	Disease management programs for patients with chronic illness: A. Provider education, feedback and reminders. B: Patient education, reminders and financial incentives.	A: provider adherence to guidelines (AES range: 0.44 – 0.61), patient disease control (AES range: 0.17 – 0.35). B: patient disease control (AES range: 0.24 – 0.40).

Table 4 Knowledge management

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Balas 1996 N=100	6	Computerized information services in different settings. A. provider prompt, B. provider feedback, C. computerized medical record, D. assisted treatment planning, E. computerized patient education.	Improved test ordering/prevention in A (PSI 14/16=88%), B (PSI 7/9=78%), and C (PSI 6/8=75%). Improved drug prescription in D (PSI 10/12=83%). Improved patient knowledge in E (PSI 8/9=89%).
Balas 2004 N=40	7	Computerized knowledge management in diabetes care. A. provider prompt, BF . home glucose records	Improved guideline compliance in A (PSI 6/8=75%). Improved glycated hemoglobin (SMD -0.14 mmol/L,S) and blood glucose (SMD -0.33 mmol/L,S) in BF .
Currell 1999 N=8	8	Nursing record systems	Not changed: No change in: patient care, patient outcomes. Some administrative benefits.

Table 4 Knowledge management (cont)

Kaushal 2003	8	Physician order entry and clinical decision support systems	<p>*<u>Physician o</u>Order entry: decrease in serious medication error (PSI 2/5=40%), improved in collorary orders (PSI <u>1/5=20%</u>), improved prescribing behaviors (PSI 100%), improved nephrotoxic drug dose and frequency (PSI <u>1/5=20%</u>).</p> <p>*Decision support: improved antibiotic-associated medication errors and adverse drug events (PSI 3/7=43%), improvement in theophyllin-associated medication errors (PSI 1/7=14%).</p>
Mitchell 2001	7	Computer systems in primary care	<p>Increased consultation length (SMD range 48-130 seconds). Improved immunization rates (ARR range 8-34%). Reduced test ordering (ARR range 6-75%). Improved patient outcomes (PSI 17/89=19%).</p>
Walton 1999	8	Computerized decision support on medication prescribing	<p>Blood concentration of drug (AES 0.69, <u>S-A</u>), time to reach therapeutic concentration (AES - 0.44, <u>S-A</u>), patient outcomes (PSI=5/6=83%), cost savings (PSI 2/2=100%)</p>



Organisational interventions:: a structured review

Table 5 Quality management

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Shortell 1998 N=3	3	Inpatient and outpatient settings	Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> all outcomes.
Wagner 2001 N=4	5	Nursing homes	Qualitative conclusions.

Table 6 Mixed interventions

Author, number of studies	Quality score	Focus	Main results
Dijkstra 2004 N=15	7	Organizational change to implement guidelines in hospitals	Improved professional performance (AOR 8.41, NS)
Gilbody 2003 N= <u>unknown?</u>	7	Organizational interventions to improve depression management in primary care	Qualitative conclusions.
Hulscher 1999 N=4	6	Organizational interventions to improve preventive care in general practice	Improved professional performance (ARR range 3-30%, PSI 4/4=100%).
Mandelblatt 1995 N=3	7	Administrative office systems to enhance breast cancer screening	Increase screening rates (ARR range: 19-21%).
Parkes 2000 N=8	7	Discharge planning from hospital	Reduction in hospital length of stay (WMD 1.01), increased patient satisfaction (PSI 2/2=100%). Not changed: <u>No change in:</u> health outcomes, overall health costs
Renders 2000 N=9	8	Organizational interventions to improve diabetes care	Qualitative conclusions.

Organisational interventions:: a structured review

Solomon 1998	8	Enabling interventions (<u>administrative structures</u>) to	As single interventions: all improved outcomes (PSI
N=26		influence use of diagnostic tests by physicians	<u>3/5=60%</u>). As part of multifaceted interventions: all
			improved outcomes (PSI range: 75-100%).
