

## Reviewer's report

**Title:** Applying psychological theories to evidence-based clinical practice: Identifying factors predictive of managing upper respiratory tract infections without antibiotics.

**Version: 2 Date:** 16 May 2007

**Reviewer:** Michael Steinman

### Reviewer's report:

General

Review of revision #1: The authors have done a fine job in revising the paper; it reads substantially better than before. The authors have answered all of my comments satisfactorily, and I have no further recommendations to provide.

-----  
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----  
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----  
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.