

## **Reviewer's report**

**Title:** Mapping New Theoretical and Methodological Terrain for Knowledge Translation: Contributions from Critical Realism and the Arts

**Version: 2 Date:** 27 August 2008

**Reviewer:** Carole Estabrooks

### **Reviewer's report:**

I have read the response and manuscript. The authors have done a very good job at addressing the issues concerns. The paper reads well. They may want to consider the two minor points below:

1. Page 6 - he says contextual factors that promote RU can be classified as leadership and culture, referencing PARIHS. Leaving out evaluation and resources is not justified given they are citing the PARIHS work. While some see evaluation as more of an intervention then context, if referencing PARIHS, they should at least acknowledge there are other elements of context besides culture and leadership.

2.The CRARUM picture is not described in the text. A paragraph describing the figure would be helpful - it feels like it is just there - although I think the paper can stand very well even without the model.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

### **Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests