

Reviewer's report

Title: Is untargeted educational outreach visiting delivered by Pharmaceutical Advisers effective in primary care? A pragmatic randomized controlled trial.

Version: 2 Date: 22 March 2007

Reviewer: Nick Freemantle

Reviewer's report:

General

The authors have taken on board the previous comments

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

If it is down to me, I cannot live with the description of 'negative trial' which would suggest to me that the intervention had made things worse! Instead I think that the trial is neutral, and found no benefits (or harms) for the intervention.

Otherwise fine by me.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.