

Reviewer's report

Title: Healthcare professionals and managers' participation in developing an intervention : A pre-intervention study in the elderly care context

Version: 3 **Date:** 3 February 2009

Reviewer: Richard Baker

Reviewer's report:

I don't have any compulsory or essential revisions. The changes to the paper have addressed my concerns about the presentation of the methods and description of the results. It is now easier to understand what the authors did.

There is a discretionary revision:

The use of the word 'precious' in the conclusions (page 23) rather over states the case ['The results of the study suggest that this strategy was feasible and could provide precious new information ...]. It would suffice to simply drop the word precious.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.