

## Reviewer's report

**Title:** Interventions Aimed at Increasing Research Use in Nursing: A Systematic Review

**Version:** 2 **Date:** 14 October 2006

**Reviewer:** Donna K Ciliska

### Reviewer's report:

General

-----  
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----  
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----  
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

This is a very well done review.

However, I had to keep reminding myself of the inclusion/exclusion criteria, as I thought about other reviews and primary studies that were not included...not an area for revision, as I think you have been very clear - more a problem with the reader (and may be an issue for other readers - but again, not your problem!)

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.